Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Operator: PEOPLES GAS LIGHT AND COKE CO.	Operator ID#: 15329
Inspection Date(s): 11/12/2013, 11/13/2013, 11/14/2013	Man Days: 3
Inspection Unit: North Shop	
Location of Audit: Chicago	
Exit Meeting Contact: Wilson Mantilla	
Inspection Type: Design Testing and Construction	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Steve Canestrini,	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Tom Webb	
Company Representative's Email Address: TJWebb@peoplesgasdelivery.com	

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION				
Location of Construction:	Construction P	erformed By:		
4926 N Monticello, Chicago	NPL Construction	n		
Contractor Foreman:	·			
Operator Inspector:				
Person(s) On Job Site				
Cliff Beth	Harold Broughton	Mark Burbridge		
Terry Peterson	Mark Koenen	Andres Hernendez		
Hector Villacana	Sam Saad			
Description of Construction:				

NPL Construction installing an 1" PE service

SERVICE INSTALLATION		Status
[192.55]	Steel	No
[192.59]	PE	Yes
[192.59]	Other	No
[192.619,192.621,192.623]	MAOP	25 pounds
[192.5]	Class location :	4
[192.53]	Are components qualified for use?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		•

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

All components were properly marked and qualified for t	use.	
[192.63(a)(2)]	Pipe Size :	1"
[192.55,192.59]	Specification:	PE 2406/2708
[192.55,192.59]	Manufacturer:	DriscoPlex
[192.55,192.59]	Pipe Grade :	D2513
[192.55,192.59]	Wall Thickness :	0.315
[192.63]	Are pipe, valves, and fittings properly marked for identification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
All pipe and fittings were properly marked for identification	on	
[192.227]	Welder qualification date:	PE
[192.227]	Welder's Name:	PE
[192.225,192.275,192.277,192.279,192.283]	Is pipe joined in accordance with approved written procedures?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The fuser followed the proper cleaning, alignment, fusing	g, and cool-down procedures.	
[192.285]	Date of Qualification :	7/11/13
[192.285]	Joiner's name:	Terry Peterson
[192.455]	Is buried metallic pipe coated?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
PE service installation.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
PE service installation.		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Anodes:	No
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Rectifier:	No
[192.461]	Does coating meet 192.461?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
PE service installation.		
[192.461(c)]	Is coating inspected just prior to being installed in the ditch?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
PE service installation.		
[192.479]	Are above ground facilities cleaned and coated or jacketed as	Satisfactory

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

	needed?	
General Comment:	1	
The service riser was properly cleaned and coated.		
[192.467]	Are pipelines electrically isolated from other underground metallic structures?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Did not observe any underground metallic structures ne	ear the installation.	
[192.319]	Is ditch back-filled to provide firm support and prevent damage to pipe or coating?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The ditch was back-filled to provide support to the main	and service.	
[192.321(c)]	Is plastic pipe installed as to minimize shear and tensile forces?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The fuser allowed extra time to straighten the pipe due	to the cold weather. It was installed to minimize any forces being applied to it.	
[192.321]	Does plastic pipe have means of locating while underground?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
A tracer wire was installed next to the service. It was the	nen properly connected to the tracer wire for the main.	
[192.325]	Are required clearances from underground structures being maintained?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Did not observe any underground structures near the ir	nstallation.	
Is required cover being obtained for the service line?		Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The service was approximately 24" in depth. The main	was approximately 34" in depth.	
[192.503]	Are general testing requirements being met?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The service was tested at 50 PSI for 15 minutes.		
[192.517]	Are records being made of strength and leak tests?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
A chart was run to document the test.		
[192.807]	Were covered employees Operator Qualification records reviewed to ensure qualification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.

Reviewed the OQ records of the employees on the job s	ite. All were qualified in the tasks being performed.	
[192.805(c)]	Were non-qualified personnel being "directed and observed" by a qualified individual?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
All employees were qualified.		
[192.805(c)]	Were span of control limitations being followed?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
All employees were qualified		
Was a Protocol 9 (Form 15) Completed?		No
[192.614]	Were One Call Notifications performed as required?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Reviewed the permit and dig number for the excavation.	Both were valid for the dates and location.	
[192.614]	Dig Ticket #	372046485
[192.383(b)]	Is an EFV installed on any new or replaced service line serving a single-family residence?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
An EFV was installed as a component of the service tee.		

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. If an item is marked Unsatisfactory, Not Applicable, or Not Checked, an explanation must be included in this report.